



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/732,887	12/09/2003	Teppei Yokota	450100-02742.1	6181	
75	590 10/21/2005		EXAM	INER	
William S Frommer Esq			HINDI, NABIL Z		
c/o Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue ART UNIT				PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10151			2655		
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2009	DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/732,887	YOKOTA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NABIL Z. HINDI	2655		

Advisory Action	10/732,887	YOKOTA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	NABIL Z. HINDI	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILEL	WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal wás filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		(DTO) 004)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-27.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-27.</u> Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-39.</u>						
Claim(s) rejected: 20-39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the content of the con	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)/ `				
13. Other: NABIC BINDI PAINARY EXAMINER OROUG 2500						
	,	GROUP THE				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added claims raise new issues which require further seach and consideration under 102/103 rejection..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is not clear whether the added c laims are merely new claims or an amendment to the original claims 28-39, if the claims are amended, then the headings should state that the following is an amended claims and not "newly added claims" as stated.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP SEAO

2056